Frequent Gene Products and Molecular Pathways Altered in Prostate Cancer- and Metastasis-Initiating Cells and Their Progenies and Novel Promising Multitargeted Therapies
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Recent gene expression profiling analyses and gain- and loss-of-function studies performed with distinct prostate cancer (PC) cell models indicated that the alterations in specific gene products and molecular pathways often occur in PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies during prostate carcinogenesis and metastases at distant sites, including bones. Particularly, the sustained activation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), hedgehog, Wnt/β-catenin, Notch, hyaluronan (HA)/CD44 and stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1)/CXCR4 during the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) process may provide critical functions for PC progression to locally invasive, metastatic and androgen-independent disease states and treatment resistance. Moreover, an enhanced glycolytic metabolism in PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies concomitant with the changes in their local microenvironment, including the induction of tumor hypoxia and release of diverse soluble factors by tumor myofibroblasts, also may promote tumor growth, angiogenesis and metastases. More particularly, these molecular transforming events may cooperate to upregulate Akt, nuclear factor (NF)-κB, hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) and stemness gene products such as Oct3/4, Sox2, Nanog and Bmi-1 in PC cells that contribute to their acquisition of high self-renewal, tumorigenic and invasive capacities and survival advantages during PC progression. Consequently, the molecular targeting of these deregulated gene products in the PC- and metastasis-initiating cells and their progenies represent new promising therapeutic strategies of great clinical interest for eradicating the total PC cell mass and improving current antihormonal treatments and docetaxel-based chemotherapies, thereby preventing disease relapse and the death of PC patients.
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resistance of PC cells. Moreover, the alterations leading to an enhanced expression and/or hypersensibility of androgen receptor (AR) also may occur in PC cells (9–11). The majority of PC patients also express diverse fusion genes resulting from the chromosomal rearrangements of the 5′-untranslated region of the androgen-regulated gene TMPRSS2 and v-ets avian erythroblastosis virus E26 transformation-specific (Ets) family genes including Erg, Ets1 or Ets4 (30–38). These fusion genes encode for oncoproteins that can provide key roles for PC progression and treatment resistance (30–42). More specifically, it has been shown that the overexpression of a truncated form of transcriptional regulator ERG from the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene, which occurs in up to approximately 40% of PCs but is not detected in the normal prostate, may contribute to PC development (33,35–41). The truncated ERG oncoprotein can cooperate with the PTEN (phosphatase tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10) downregulation-induced phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt activation and induce the PC cell invasion and angiogenesis-like wild-type oncogenic ERG transcription factor (33,35–41). In addition, the changes in the tumor reactive stroma, including the release of different growth factors by activated myofibroblasts, typically take place during PC progression under normoxic and hypoxic conditions and may promote the malignant transformation of PC cells and neoangiogenesis (5,11,13,43–47).

In addition, a growing body of experimental evidence has also revealed that the accumulation of genetic and/or epigenetic alterations occurring in prostatic stem/progenitor cells and changes in their local microenvironment during the lifespan may result in their malignant transformation into highly tumorigenic and migrating PC stem/progenitor cells, also designated as PC- and metastasis-initiating cells, that provide critical functions for tumor formation and metastases (Figure 1) (5,13,48–77). More particularly, the acquisition of a more malignant behavior by tumorigenic PC stem/progenitor cells during disease progression, including a migratory ability during the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) program, may lead to their invasion, dissemination through the peripheral circulation and metastases at distant sites, including bones, treatment resistance and disease relapse (Figure 1) (5,13,46,47,50–52,56–60,63–65,67,68,72–78). In this matter, we review the most recent advancements on the establishment of the cellular origin of PCs and key signal transduction elements that can cooperate for the acquisition of more malignant phenotypes by PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies during prostate carcinogenesis, metastases at bones and other distant sites and treatment resistance. The provided information should help to design novel effective multitargeted approaches for improving the current anti-hormonal treatments and docetaxel-based chemotherapies to treat the PC patients at early and late stages, including patients...
with a high risk of disease recurrence or relapse after treatment initiation.

**MOLECULAR TRANSFORMING EVENTS DURING PROSTATE CARCINOGENESIS AND METASTASES**

**Cellular Origin and Heterogeneity of PC**

PC is a complex, multifactorial and heterogeneous disease. Among the factors predisposing to PC development, there are intense oxidative stress, inflammatory atrophies and fibrosis associated with severe tissue injuries, hormonal deregulation, and, more particularly, advancing age (1,10,13,44,79–83). Although the cellular origin for different PC subtypes remains not precisely established, a growing body of evidence suggests that the accumulation of distinct genetic and/or epigenetic alterations in prostatic stem/progenitor cells, and more particularly during chronological aging and intense tissue injuries, may result in their malignant transformation into highly tumorigenic PC stem/progenitor cells (5,13,48–77). In fact, in analogy with the model of prostate carcinogenesis, a subpopulation of human PC stem/progenitor cells expressing stem cell–like markers such as telomerase, CD133, CD44high, αβ1-integrinhigh, nestin, aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH1high), ATP binding cassette (ABC) multidrug transporter ABCG2high, Oct3/4, Sox2 and/or Nanog, but a low or undetectable AR level comprising about 0.1–3% of the total tumor PC cell mass has also been detected in malignant prostatic adenocarcinomas and metastatic neoplasms (48–53,64–69,81). Importantly, the PC stem/progenitor cells were able to give rise in vitro and in vivo to the bulk mass of differentiated PC cells expressing secretory luminal phenotypes, including AR and prostatic acid phosphatase, and reconstitute the tumors in vivo with a histological architecture of a Gleason grade comparable to the patient’s original tumors (49,51–53,64,65,101). For instance, CD133/αβ1-integrinhigh PC stem cells isolated from primary PC (P4E6), when orthotopically grafted in a macrigel plug containing human prostatic stroma, were able to form multiple intraprostastic tumors in nude mice in vivo, showing a histology such as the original Gleason 4 grade PC (49). Furthermore, it has been shown that certain established human PC cell lines, including a new IGR-CaP1 cell line derived from primary prostatic epithelial neoplasm as well as metastatic and AI PC3 and DU145 cell lines, may represent a heterogeneous population of PC cells. The presence of a subpopulation of highly tumorigenic and migrating PC stem/progenitor cells expressing stem cell–like markers such as CD133, CD44high, ALDH1high and/or CXCR4 may be responsible for their capacity to form tumors and metastasize in animal models in vivo with a high incidence (56–58,60,65,70,102).

In addition, it has also been observed that the malignant transformation of prostatic epithelial cells with an intermediate phenotype localized in the suprabasal or luminal compartment, which can express the stem cell–like, basal (CK5/14 and p63) and luminal (CK8/18 and AR) markers and persist after the degradation of the basal epithelial cell layer and castration, may contribute to PC development (51,54,55,61,62,87,100,101,103–106). For instance, it was reported that the hedgehog signaling elements, receptor Patched 1 (PTCH1) and glioma-associated oncogene homolog 1 (GLI) transcription factor, were frequently colocalized with a p63 basal marker in CD44/CCK8/14-expressing prostatic hyperplasia basal cells and PC cells but were rarely detected in normal basal cells (55). These data suggest that the activation of the hedgehog pathway may induce a transitory differentiation of prostatic stem/progenitor cells into CD44+/p63−/− hyperplasia basal cells with an intermediate phenotype (CK8/14), and this early transforming event may culminate in tumorigenesis by giving rise to CD44+ PC cells expressing PTCH1 and GLI (55). Moreover, it has also been reported that a rare subpopulation of self-renewing castration-resistant prostatic epithelial cells (CARNs) expressing the homeodomain-containing transcription factor Nkx3.1 and found in the luminal compartment after castration in a mouse model could reconstitute prostate ducts in renal grafts (100). The inducible conditional deletion of the phosphatase tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10 (PTENfloxflox) and Nkx3.1CreERT2 tumor suppressor gene in CARNs in castrated male mice was also accompanied by the formation of PCs with evidence of microinvasion, suggesting that the malignant transformation of CARNs localized in the luminal compartment may contribute to PC development in this mouse model (100). Further studies, however, are necessary to more
precisely establish the hierarchical organization and specific functions of PC stem/progenitor cells with the basal or intermediate phenotype in prostate initiation and progression as well as after castration in different human PC subtypes and animal models of PC. In this regard, we review frequent molecular transforming events associated with PC initiation and progression to locally invasive, metastatic CRPCs, treatment resistance and disease relapse.

Frequent Gene Products and Molecular Pathways Altered in PC-Initiating Cells and Their Progenies during Prostate Carcinogenesis and the Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Process

Recent progress has led to the identification of gene products and molecular pathways that are often deregulated in PC-initiating cells with stem cell–like properties and their progenies during human prostate carcinogenesis and that may contribute to their malignant transformation. More particularly, the immunohistochemical and gene-profiling analyses of nonmalignant and malignant prostatic tissues from patients combined with gain- and loss-of-function studies and development of PC cells and transgenic mouse models have revealed that a downregulation of distinct tumor suppressor proteins and upregulation of different oncogenic products in PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies may provide critical roles for PC etiopathogenesis and progression (Figure 2) (29,46,47,57,58,60,63,70–74,76,77,97–99,102,107–119). For instance, the characterization of transgenic mouse models relevant to prostate carcinogenesis has indicated that the downregulation of specific tumor suppressor proteins, including PTEN, Nkx3.1, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27kip1, p53 and retinoblastoma (pRb), as well as the overexpression of oncogenes such as TMPRSS2:ERG fusion in PC cells, may cooperate for PC initiation and progression (35,41,63,97–99,109–119). More specifically, the studies using the cell lineage markers have indicated that the Sca-1+ PC stem/progenitor cells in the basal compartment or their more cell lineage-committed progenies with an intermediate phenotype can act as the PC-initiating cells in a prostate-conditional probasin (PB)-Cre4 PTEN–/– transgenic mouse model of PC (97,98). Moreover, although the PB-TMPRSS2:ERG transgenic mice engineered to express the TMPRSS2:ERG fusion in adult prostate did not develop preneoplastic prostate intraepithelial neoplasm (PIN) lesions, it was observed that the crossing of these mice with germline PTEN+/– or prostate-specific PB-Akt-1+/+ transgenic mice resulted in the development of PIN lesions more rapidly compared with germline PTEN+/– or PB-Akt-1+/+ transgenic mice used as littermate controls (35). In the same way, the prostate-specific ERG overexpression in the germline PTEN+/– transgenic mouse was also accompanied by a marked acceleration of the progression of high-grade PINs to invasive prostatic adenocarcinomas relative to PB-ERG or germline PTEN+/– transgenic mice used as littermate controls (41). Interestingly, it has...
also been noticed that the expression of murine ERG was markedly increased at the mRNA level in tumors formed in prostate-conditional compound PTEN+/–;p53+/– transgenic mice compared with PTEN–/–;p53–/– transgenic mice and wild-type mice (41). Moreover, the ERG overexpression in benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH)-1 and PC3 cell lines also promoted their migration in vitro without affecting their proliferation, at least in part by upregulating CXCR4 and a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motif protein (ADAMTS1) (41). Together, these data suggest that the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion overexpression may cooperate with the PTEN downregulation-induced PI3K/Akt stimulation at early and late stages of the prostate carcinogenesis. Moreover, the loss of PTEN combined with p53-induced enhanced expression of ERG at a late stage of PC might promote the transition to invasive disease states, at least in part by upregulating CXCR4 expression. However, further studies are essential to more precisely establish the implication of the chromosomal rearrangements, including TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusion, in the acquisition of a more malignant behavior by PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies as well as the molecular mechanisms at the basis of their cooperative interactions with other oncogenic events during human PC progression and metastases.

In addition, a gene expression analysis has identified a gene signature composed of 66 genes that characterizes the tumorigenic PC cells from patient tumors that express stem cell–like markers and that are able to form the prostaspheres in vivo (71). This gene signature comprises a subset of genes encoding for diverse growth factors (neuropilin-1 [NRP-1], growth differentiation factor-1 [GDF-1] and jagged 1 ligand for the receptor Notch), the proteins that are implicated in cell adhesion and cytoskeletal maintenance, transcriptional regulators (c-myc binding protein [MYCBP], v-myb avian myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog-like 1 [MYBL1], DNA-binding protein inhibitors ID1 and ID3, FBj murine osteosarcoma viral (v-fos) oncogene homolog (FOS), E74-like factors ELF3 and ELF4 and Krueppel-like factors 2 and 5) and factors involved in protein biosynthesis and metabolism (71). It was also observed that an increase of expression levels and/or activities of telomerase and diverse signaling elements of growth factor pathways often occurs during PC etiopathogenesis and progression (Figure 2) (29,46,47,57,58,60,71–74,76,77,102,107,108). These growth factor cascades include EGFR, hedgehog, Wnt/β-catenin, extracellular matrix (ECM) components/integrins, HA/CD44, interleukin (IL)-6/IL-6R and/or SDF-1/CXCR4 as well as their downstream effectors including PI3K/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), nuclear factor (NF)-κB, focal adhesion kinase (FAK), hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) and Myc (29,46,47,57,58,60,71–74,76,77,102,107,108). The integration of these oncogenic pathways may cooperate for the sustained growth, survival and acquisition of a migratory phenotype by tumorigenic PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies during the EMT process as well as their resistance to current antihormonal treatments, radiotherapy and chemotherapy (Figures 1 and 2) (5,11,13,17,44,51,58,120–128). Consistently, the analyses of differently expressed gene patterns of CD133+/αβ3-integrinhigh PC stem/progenitor cells versus committed and differentiated CD133+/αβ3-integrinlow PC cells as well as normal prostate CD133+/αβ3-integrinhigh stem cells from malignant and benign tissues of patients have revealed that multiple genes associated with inflammation, such as NF-κB and IL-6, cellular adhesion (focal adhesion signaling) and metastases, were overexpressed in CD133+/αβ3-integrinhigh PC stem/progenitor cells (76). The treatment with Wnt3a ligand of dissociated C4.2b PC cells derived from spheres has also been observed to enhance their ability to form prostaspheres and sphere size, which was associated with a significant increase of CD133+, CD44+, nuclear β-catenin-positive PC cells detected within prostaspheres (108). In the same pathway, the activation of PI3K/Akt/Fox03a signaling components has also been shown to contribute to the prostasphere formation and maintenance of PTEN+ DU145 and PTEN– PC3 cells (129). Moreover, it was reported that the AR1 GCRP1 cell line and its clonally derived subclones showing mutated p53 and high telomerase activity and expressing high levels of different stem cell–like markers (such as CD133, CD44 and CXCR4), basal epithelial markers including cytokeratins CK5/CK14 and hedgehog signaling elements rapidly formed subcutaneous or intraprostatic xenografts in nude mice (70).

The detection of elevated expression levels of different embryonic stem cell–like transcription factors such as Oct3/4, Nanog, Sox2 and/or Polycomb group protein Bmi-1 in PC-initiating cells has also been observed to contribute to their high self-renewal capacity and tumorigenic potential and confer them with survival advantages and an invasive capacity (72–77). For instance, the PC cells with an EMT phenotype and stem cell–like features, including an increased expression of Notch1, Oct3/4, Nanog, Sox2 and/or Lin28B, exhibited enhanced clonogenic and prostasphere-forming ability and tumorigenicity in mice that were associated with a decreased expression of microRNAs, miR-200 and/or let-7 family member (73). The PC cell subpopulations expressing the stem cell–like marker CD44 and high levels of Nanog and hedgehog signaling element Bmi-1 from both primary and established PC cell lines also were able to invade Matrigel in vitro through induction of the EMT program, whereas CD44+ PC cell fractions were noninvasive (72). It was also noticed that the invasive PC cells with stem cell–like phenotypes from DU145 and primary PC cells were more tumorigenic than noninvasive PC cells in nonobese diabetic–severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD/SCID) mice (72). Moreover, the analyses of the differen-
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Initially methylated genes in invasive PC cell subpopulations with stem cell–like properties from LNCaP and DU145 cell lines indicated that the epigenetic changes were detected in bone marrow X-linked (BMX) nonreceptor tyrosine kinase, transcription factor Sox1 and IL-6/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) pathway in these invasive PC cells (77).

All together, these data suggest that the intrinsic properties of immature PC stem/progenitor cells as well as their acquisition of a high self-renewal capacity and migratory ability through the enhanced expression of different growth factor pathways and stemness gene products during the EMT process may confer them with greater tumorigenic and invasive properties compared with the differentiated PC cells (Figures 1 and 2). In this review, we are reporting the changes that often occur in the local microenvironment of PC-initiating cells and their progenies, and that they may promote their acquisition of a more aggressive behavior.

Modulation of the Malignant Behavior of PC-Initiating Cells and Their Progenies by the Local Tumor Microenvironment

The PC progression is typically associated with the degradation of basal membrane, loss of the basal epithelial cell layer and cell adhesion, intense remodeling changes that occur in the components of tumor-reactive stroma and interactive cross-talks between the PC-initiating cells and their progenies with stromal cells (Figures 1 and 3) (5,43,44,75,76,130–133). More specifically, an intense remodeling of diverse ECM components, including an upregulation of integrin receptor ligands, peptidoglycans such as perlecan, and secreted proteolytic enzymes including matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA), matriptase and hepsin as well as a decreased expression of decorin often occurs during PC progression (Figure 2) (5,43,44,134). The accumulation of the perlecan in the ECM and its interaction with sonic hedgehog (SHH) ligand molecules can sustain the activation of hedgehog cascade in PC cells (Figure 2) (43,135,136). Moreover, the downregulation of the decorin as well as the upregulation of the ectodomain shedding of membrane precursors of EGFR ligands induced by MMPs may promote the EGFR activation (Figure 2) (137,138). On the other hand, the development of resistance to the cell detachment–induced apoptosis, also designated as anoikis, is an important process that maintains the anchorage-independent growth and survival of PC cells (134,139,140). Interestingly, it was reported that PC3 cells may trigger a constitutive production of reactive oxygen species mediated through a sustained activation of 5-lipoxygenase that leads to the Src oxidation and activation in the absence of cell adhesion and ligand-independent phosphorylation of EGFR (140). The persistent activation of EGFR in turn may culminate to a chronic activation of prosurvival signals and degradation of the proapoptotic protein Bim and thereby promote the PC3 cell survival in the absence of cell adhesion (140).

In addition, the induction of tumor hypoxia and vascularization and a decrease of extracellular pH in local tumor microenvironment of PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies also may alter their metabolic and survival pathways and promote their invasion and metastasis (75,76,130–133,141). In fact, PC...
stem/progenitor cells and their progenies can adapt to the persistent oxidative stress and inflammatory and hypoxic conditions prevalent in primary neoplasm by acquiring more malignant phenotypes through the activation of NF-κB and HIFs (Figure 2) (75,76,131–133,141). NF-κB, HIF-1α and/or HIF-2α may induce the expression of different target gene products such as glycolytic enzymes, macrophage-inhibitory cytokine-1 (MIC-1), IL-6, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), P-glycoprotein (P-gp) (also designated as multidrug resistance 1 [MDR-1 or ABCB1]), Bel-2 and/or Bel-xL in PC cells under normoxic and hypoxic conditions (Figure 2) (75,76,130–132,141). These gene products in turn may play critical roles in PC progression by upregulating the glycolysis, angiogenic switch, survival pathways and chemoresistance of PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies (Figure 2) (75,76,130–132,141–144). In fact, an adaptive switch from mitochondrial respiration (oxidative phosphorylation) to an enhanced glycolytic metabolism, known as the Warburg effect, may occur in PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies through an upregulated expression of glycolytic enzymes such as phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (Pgk1) that breaks down glucose (141,145–149). The enhanced glycolysis may contribute to provide the energy and nutrients necessary for the sustained proliferation and the biosynthesis of new cellular components, including proteins and lipids, in rapidly dividing PC cells (141,145–149).

In addition, the host stromal cells, including fibroblasts, infiltrating immune cells such as macrophages and endothelial cells and the recruitment of bone marrow–derived circulating endothelial progenitor cells, which may release diverse soluble growth factors and chemokines in interstitial stroma, can also influence the remodeling of the ECM components, malignant behavior of PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies and the tumor angiogenic process (Figure 1) (5,11,46,47,134,135). For instance, the upregulated expression and release of different soluble factors such as TGF-β, fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), vascular endothelial growth factor and MMPs by myofibroblasts can participate through the autocrine and paracrine loops to promote the PC development and tumor neoangiogenic process (Figure 1) (11,135). Importantly, it was shown that IL-6, TGF-β1 or conditioned medium from PC3 cells can activate the cancer-associated fibroblasts, which in turn may induce, in a paracrine manner, the EMT program and stem cell–like phenotypes in PC cells (46,47). More specifically, it was shown that cancer-associated fibroblasts may enhance the expression of EMT-associated molecules such as snail and twist in PC3 cells and the number of PC3 cells expressing stem cell–like markers such as CD133+ or CD44high/CD24– as well as their ability to form prostaspheres and to self-renew, and thereby promote, their invasive ability and metastatic spread (46,47). The cancer-associated fibroblast-induced EMT program and stem cell–like features in PC3 cells may be mediated through the secretion of MMPs by cancer-associated fibroblasts that, in turn, may upregulate Rac-1, NF-κB, COX-2–induced reactive oxygen species production and HIF-1α in PC cells (46,47).

Hence, all these molecular transforming events in PC-initiating cells and their progenies as well as the tumor reactive stroma may cooperate for the PC development, neo-angiogenesis and transition to locally invasive and metastatic CRPCs. In this matter, we are reporting the gene products that are often deregulated in invasive and metastatic PC cells at primary and secondary neoplasms and their local microenvironment and that can contribute to their metastatic spread and metastases at distant tissues, including bones.

**Frequent Gene Products and Molecular Pathways Altered in Metastasis-Initiating PC Cells and Their Local Microenvironment**

PCs are known to metastasize near lymph nodes and different distant tissues and organs, including bones, lungs, liver, brain and the adrenal gland (Figure 1) (150,151). The molecular mechanisms and transforming events that dictate the selective metastatic spread of PC cells from primary tumor to specific distant tissues are not precisely understood. Metastasis is a multistep process that implicates the stromal invasion of only a small subset of PC cells in primary tumors. The spread of metastasis-initiating cells through the lymphatic and blood circulatory systems followed PC cell migration engrainment, and homing to specific distant tissues and formation of secondary neoplasms (Figure 1). Recent accumulating lines of evidence suggest that the metastatic spread of a small number of tumorigenic and migrating PC stem/progenitor cells might contribute to drive tumor growth at distant metastatic sites by giving rise to the total tumor cell mass (Figure 1) (22,50,56–58,60,65,102). Consistent with this model of metastasis, it has been shown that the PC stem/progenitor cells expressing CD133, CD44hi and/or ALDH1hi stem cell–like markers from metastatic tissues of patients and metastatic PC cells lines displayed a high self-renewal ability and capacity to form tumor and metastasize at distant sites (22,50,56–58,60,65,102). For instance, it was observed that ALDH isoforms are expressed in human PC cells and clinical specimens from primary prostate tumors with matched bone metastases, and the ALDH1hi/CD44hi PC cell fraction from the metastatic PC3M-Pro4 PC cell line orthotopically implanted in mouse prostate formed the tumors at primary and distant metastatic sites in an animal model in vivo (65).

In addition, it has also been reported that the overexpression of SHH ligand by using pCX-SHH-IG vector in mice led to the malignant transformation of p63+ prostatic stem cells localized in the basal compartment of the prostate into PC stem cells concomitant with the development of PINs that subsequently progressed in invasive and metastatic PC within 3 months (152). Importantly, p63+ PC stem cells detected in metastatic loci within lymph nodes, kidneys and lungs
were able to give rise to p63+/AR−, CD44+/AR− and AR+ progenies and form primitive prostate-like glandular structures (152). These data suggest that the sustained activation of the hedgehog cascade in p63+ PC stem cells may play critical functions for PC formation as well as for the invasion and metastatic spread of these immature PC cells and formation of secondary tumors in this mouse model (152). Regardless of this important advance, few studies have defined the specific gene products deregulated in metastasis-initiating cells with respect to their stem cell–like properties. Therefore, we will discuss here information that has been obtained about the transforming events that may modulate the metastasis-initiating cell behavior without discriminating against their stem cell–like phenotypes.

Numerous gene expression profiling analyses have allowed researchers to identify specific molecular signatures that may be associated with a high potential of PC cells detected at primary tumors to undergo metastatic spread and establish their homing at specific distant tissues as well as the molecular changes that may occur at the predestined metastatic sites (23,153,154). In particular, a loss of PTEN, p53 and breast cancer type 1 (BRCA1) combined with an upregulation of EGFR, hedgehog, TGF-β, TGF-βR receptor, ECM components/integrins and SDF-1/CXCR4 and downstream effectors such as PI3K/Akt, small GTPase Rac-1, mitogen-activated protein kinases, NF-κB, MIC-1 and Rho are often detected in metastatic PC cells (11,135,153,155–166). It has also been shown that the migration and engraftment of metastatic PC cells in bones, the most common site of PC metastasis, as well as other distant tissues may be mediated in part through the formation of chemotactic gradients such as SDF-1 released by host endothelial cells and fibroblasts at distant tissues that specifically attract the metastatic PC cells overexpressing CXCR4 (Figure 1) (23,122,167–169).

Several observations have also indicated that the skeletal sites enriched in bone marrow cellularity and under active turnover, including the spine, pelvis, ribs and proximal metaphyses of long bones, may be the driving force that mediates a preferential migration and homing of metastasis-initiating PC cells in the skeleton and secondary tumor formation (157,170–172). The secretion of different growth factors and cytokines such as SHH, EGF, TGF-α, basic fibroblast growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, insulin-like growth factor, parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTH-rp), MIC-1 and endothelin by metastasis-initiating PC cells and/or bone cells may promote bone metastasis and osteoblastic and/or osteolytic reactions (Figure 1) (11,135,160–166,173). More specifically, the secretion of SHH, TGF-α, TGF-β, MIC-1 and/or Pgk1 by PC cells has been reported to play critical roles by promoting the ECM remodeling in bones, osteoblast and osteoclast differentiation and induction of osteoblastic and/or osteolytic lesions, which are associated with severe pain in PC patients (Figure 1) (11,162,163,173–176). Moreover, it has been proposed that the metastasis-initiating PC cells that preferentially establish their homing at bones must possess the osteomimetic properties and be able to acquire the mesenchymal cell–like phenotypes as the bone cells (161,177). The data from a study that consisted of performing a heterotypic coculture of metastatic PC3 cells and bone marrow–derived stromal cells have revealed that a specific subset of genes were altered only after their physical contact (178). The altered gene products include collagen types III, IV, X and XII; α1-and α2-integrins, MMP-2, MMP-9, uPA, biglycan, osteopontin and Raf-1 in PC3 cells as well as collagen types VIII and IX, bone morphogenic protein-6, TGF-β1, homolog of the Drosophila gene (SMAD6) and twist in bone marrow–derived stromal cells (178). Similarly, the data from a recent study revealed that metastatic PC3 and DU145 cells may downregulate the expression of ECM components and upregulate the membrane type 1 metalloprotease (MT1-MMP), vimentin and αβ1-integrin in stromal cells as well as their migratory phenotype (176). Also, the changes in diverse signaling pathways, including chaperone-mediated mitochondrial homeostasis, integrin-dependent cell behavior and runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2)-regulated osteoblastic gene expression, such as α1 type I collagen, bone sialoprotein, osteopontin and osteocalcin in the osteoblasts, can occur in the metastatic bone microenvironment (179). These molecular changes in bone cells may promote the formation of secondary tumors and osteoblastic and osteolytic lesions (Figure 1). Hence, these data suggest that metastasis-initiating PC cells may alter their novel local microenvironment at metastatic sites by modulating the ECM components and phenotypic and functional features of stromal cells. Reciprocally, the stromal cells, in turn, may also influence the malignant behavior of PC cells and secondary tumor formation.

Collectively, these recent studies have led to the identification of novel gene products that are often altered in PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies as well as their local microenvironment during PC progression and metastases at distant sites, including bones, and that can contribute to their aggressive phenotypes. Hence, the simultaneous targeting of these deregulated gene products could be exploited to reverse treatment resistance and develop a novel combination therapy. Consistent with this, we report recent data indicating that PC stem/progenitor cells can provide critical functions in treatment resistance, and the molecular targeting of distinct oncogenic products in these immature PC cells and their progenies constitute a potential therapeutic strategy to eradicate the total PC cell mass (Figures 1 and 3).

**Critical Implication of PC- and Metastasis-Initiating Cells and Their Progenies in Treatment Resistance**

Recent lines of experimental evidence have revealed that the PC stem/progenitor...
cells, including side population (SP) of PC cells isolated by using the Hoechst dye efflux technique, exhibiting an AI phenotype and expressing high levels of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) multidrug transporters such as ABCG2, may be more resistant than their differentiated progenies and non-SP cells to the anti-hormonal and chemotherapeutic treatments (67–69,180). For example, it was observed that the nonadherent suspension culture of metastatic and AI PC3 cells under the form of prostatespheres resulted in an enrichment of CD133+/CD44+ PC cells that are more resistance to cisplatin than adherent cells (68). Similarly, the highly tumorigenic CD133+/CD117high/ABCG2high/nestin+ PC cell subpopulation coexpressing Oct3/4, Nanog and Sox2 from the PC cell line 22RV1 was also more resistant to treatment with a variety of chemotherapeutic drugs such as cisplatin, paclitaxel, adriamycin, and methotrexate than the CD133+/ABCG2low/nestin+ PC cell fraction (67). Moreover, the CD133+ SP cells endowed with stem cell–like properties isolated from the highly invasive WPE1-NB26 PC cell line were less sensitive to the antiproliferative and apoptotic effects induced by docetaxel treatment than the CD133− non-SP cell fraction (69). In addition, it has also been observed that an enrichment of Sca+ PC stem/progenitor cells occurred after androgen-deprivation or docetaxel treatment in transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate (TRAMP) and PTEN knockout transgenic mouse models of PC and led to tumor regrowth and metastases (63,98,181–184). These data suggest that the immature Sca+ PC stem/progenitor cells may provide critical functions in treatment resistance and disease relapse in these transgenic mouse models of PC.

Together, these observations underline the critical importance to target chemoresistant and AI PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies for counteracting the disease progression and overcoming the resistance to current anti-hormonal and chemotherapies, including first-line docetaxel-based chemotherapeutic regimens that are used in the clinics for treating patients diagnosed with locally invasive and metastatic CRPCs.

**NOVEL STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING PC PROGRESSION AND OVERCOMING TREATMENT RESISTANCE**

The development of novel chemopreventive and chemotherapeutic strategies that may prevent the evolution of normal prostatic epithelium to premalignant PIN lesions and prostate carcinogenesis or counteract PC transition to locally invasive, AI and metastatic disease stages is of great clinical interest in considering the long time generally associated with the PC progression. Numerous preclinical studies aiming to develop novel therapies for preventing or treating PCs have led to the identification of a variety of potential chemopreventive and chemotherapeutic agents, including natural and dietary compounds and pharmacological agents or gene therapies, to eradicate the total tumor cell mass, including PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies (76,137,185–198).

**Chemopreventive and Chemotherapeutic Effects of Diverse Dietary Compounds**

Some preclinical investigations aiming to develop novel strategies to prevent PC formation or disease progression have aimed to establish the chemopreventive and anticarcinogenic effects induced by diverse dietary compounds using TRAMP and PTEN knockout transgenic mouse models of PC (137,187–194,198). In fact, the TRAMP and PTEN knockout transgenic mouse models of PC, which are driven by PC stem/progenitor cells endowed with stem cell–like properties, represent useful animal models to estimate the chemopreventive and chemotherapeutic effects induced by the dietary substances on total PC cell mass and their local microenvironment as well as their potential to reverse the treatment resistance (63,97,98,181–184,199,200). Of therapeutic interest, it has been shown that different dietary compounds, including curcumin, lycopene, silibinin, feeding of dibenzoylmethane, green tea polyphenols, genistein, α-difluoromethylornithine, toremifene, R-flurbiprofen, celecoxib and sulindac, or their chemical derivatives, significantly decreased the incidence of PIN lesions and PC formation and/or delayed the disease progression in the TRAMP or PTEN knockdow transgenic mouse models of PC (137,187–194,198).

The anticarcinogenic effects of these dietary agents, alone or in combination, were mediated at least in part through downregulation of diverse growth factor cascades, including EGFR and sonic hedgehog and their downstream signaling elements such as PI3K/Akt and NF-κB in cancer cells (137,187–194,198). For instance, several botanic compounds such as genistein, apigenin, baicalein, curcumin, epigallocatechin 3-gallate, quercetin and resveratrol have been reported to inhibit the hedgehog cascade and GLI-1 expression and suppress the in vitro growth of PC cell lines such as androgen-dependent LNCaP and AI PC3 cells and disease progression in the TRAMP model of PC (191).

More recently, the natural dietary compounds have also been shown to induce the apoptotic death on PC stem/progenitor cells expressing the stem cell–like markers and their progenies in vitro and in vivo (76,195–197). For instance, it was reported that a sesquiterpene lactone from the plant parthenolide induced the cytotoxic effects on parental and CD44high and CD44low PC cell fractions isolated from DU145, PC3, VCAP and LAPC4 cell lines and primary PC cells from patient samples in vitro through an inhibition of NF-κB and Src-related signaling components (195). Parthenolide was also effective at inhibiting the tumor growth of CD44high DU145 cell xenograft models in vivo (195). Importantly, parthenolide also induced the cytotoxic effects on the CD133+ primary prostate tumor cells, while the CD133− normal cells from benign prostate hyperplasia were insensitive to this treatment type in vitro (76). Moreover, another natural bioactive phytochemical compound
produced by cotton plants (gossypol) was observed to induce the cytotoxic effects on LAPC4, PC3 and DU145 PC cell lines in vitro and inhibit the PC-initiating cell-driven tumor growth in a NOD-SCID xenograft model through an increase of DNA damage and the induction of mitochondria- and p53-mediated apoptotic cell death (196).

Hence, the use of these dietary substances, alone or in combination therapy, constitutes promising strategies to eradicate PC-initiating cells and their progenies, thereby preventing PC development or counteracting its progression to aggressive and metastatic CRPCs. In this regard, we review other pharmacological agents that have been shown to target distinct deregulated signaling elements involved in the malignant transformation and treatment resistance of PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies, and that represent potential therapeutic tools to develop a multitargeted therapy for improving current treatment of PC patients.

**Other Anticancer Agents Targeting PC- and Metastasis-Initiating Cells and Their Progenies**

Recent studies have led to the identification of different tumorigenic pathways initiated by diverse growth factors and chemokines and drug resistance–associated molecules that provide critical functions for the growth, survival and treatment resistance of PC- and metastasis-initiating cells with stem cell–like properties and their progenies and that constitute potential molecular targets for eradicating the total PC cell mass. These signaling elements include telomerase, ALDH, CD44, EGFR, hedgehog, Wnt/β-catenin, Notch, integrins, IL-6/IL-6R and SDF-1/CXCR4 and downstream signaling elements such as miRNAs, Myc, PI3K/Akt, NF-kB, HIFs, MIC-1, Nr2 and ABC multidrug transporters such as P-gp and ABCG2 (Figures 2 and 3) (22,47,51,57,58,60,69,72,73,76,108,129,195,196,201–207). The data from several preclinical studies have indicated that the targeting of these deregulated gene products and oncogenic pathways by RNA silencing or using specific inhibitory agents induced the antiproliferative, antiinvasive, antimetastatic and cytotoxic effects on PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies in vitro and in vivo and/or reversed chemoresistance (Figure 3) (22,47,51,57,58,60,69,72,73,76,108,129,195,196,201–207). More specifically, the telomerase represents an attractive target for eliminating the total PC cell mass because this enzyme is expressed at a high level in the majority of PC cells, including PC stem/progenitor cells, and the normal tissue–resident adult stem/progenitor cells typically have longer telomeres than PC cells, thereby minimizing the systemic toxicity associated with the use of telomerase inhibitors (13,82). In support of this result, it has been shown that the synthetic 13 mer-thio-phosphoramidate oligonucleotide inhibitor of telomerase designated as desiletstat sodium (GRN163L), which is currently in phase I/II clinical trials for the treatment of diverse cancers, inhibited the enzymatic activity of telomerase and induced the telomere shortening in parental and PC cell fractions expressing CD133, CD44 and/or αβ3-integrin isolated from diverse PC3, DU145, C4-2 and LNCaP cell lines in vitro (Figure 3) (202,208).

Numerous studies also revealed that the targeting of distinct growth factor pathways may be effective for eradicating the invasive and metastatic PC cells with stem cell–like features and their progenies and improving the efficacy of current antihormonal treatments and chemotherapies. Particularly, the reexpression of miR-200 in EMT-type PC cells has been shown to reduce the expression of Notch1 and Lin28B and inhibit their prostasphere-forming ability (73). Importantly, it has also been observed that the blockade of canonical Wnt/β-catenin pathway by using the Wnt inhibitor Dickkopf-related protein-1 (DKK1) or secreted Frizzled related protein-2 (sFRP2) reduced the formation and size of self-renewing prostaspheres derived from nonadherent suspension culture of metastatic LNCaP and C4-2B PC cell lines (Figure 3) (108). In contrast, the treatment with the AR antagonist bicalutamide, also designated as casodex, reduced the prostasphere size and prostate serum antigen expression but did not inhibit the LNCaP and C4-2B prostasphere formation (108). These observations, which are consistent with a reduction of the bulk mass of proliferative androgen sensitive PC cells, while AI PC cells with stem cell–like features can persist after AR inhibition, underline the importance of using casodex in combination with other cytotoxic drugs for eradicating the total PC cell mass (108). Of particular interest, the blockade of EGFR and/or hedgehog cascades by using a specific inhibitor of EGFR tyrosine kinase activity (such as gefitinib, erlotinib or lapatinib), smoothened (SMO) hedgehog coreceptor inhibitor, cyclopamine or anti-SHH antibody has also been shown to induce the antiproliferative, antiinvasive and cytotoxic effects on PC stem/progenitor cells with stem cell–like properties and their progenies in vitro and in vivo (Figure 3) (14,15,21,22,69,203,209,210). For instance, it was reported that the cotargeting of EGFR and hedgehog pathways by using gefitinib and cyclopamine improved the cytotoxic effects induced by mitoxantrone on parental AI PC3 and DU145 cells and C44(hi) cell fractions enriched from these metastatic PC cell lines (203). Furthermore, a combination of low concentrations of gefitinib and cyclopamine plus docetaxel also induced greater antiproliferative and apoptotic effects on parental PC3 cells as well as on the CD133+ SP subpopulation and CD133− non-SP cell fractions from highly invasive WPE1-NB26 PC cells than individual drugs or two drug combinations (15,69). In addition, since the chemotactic gradient mediated by SDF-1 can regulate the proliferation, migration and metastatic spread of CXCR4+ PC cells, the inhibition of the SDF-1/CXCR4 axis also may constitute a potential therapeutic approach to prevent their invasion and metastases at distant sites, including bones and disease relapse (Figures 1–3) (51,167–169). Consistently, it has been
shown that the treatment with an anti-CXCR4 antibody of CD133+/CD44+ PC cells from immortalized malignant RC-92a/hTERT cells, which have been derived from a human primary PC, inhibited the SDF-1–induced migration of these immature cells with stem cell–like properties (51).

In addition, other promising therapeutic strategies for eradicating the highly aggressive and chemoresistant PC cells may consist of targeting the deregulated metabolic pathways and specific signaling elements such as PI3K/Akt/mTOR, NF-κB, HIF-1α and 2α and MIC-1. These elements are induced under normoxic or hypoxic conditions and may provide critical functions for PC cell survival, invasion and metastasis; angiogenesis; and/or treatment resistance (Figure 3) (29,46,47,133,140,214–216). Consistently, it has been reported that the targeting of HIF-1α, PX-478 (S-2-amino-3-[4′-N,N-bis(2-chloroethyl)aminophenyl propionic acid N-oxide dihydrochloride), or inhibitor of the proteasomal degradation of HIF-1α and/or HIF-2α such as ascorbic acid or zinc inhibited their invasive ability in vitro and tumor formation and lung metastases in vivo (Figure 3) (47,206,219). In addition, the inhibition of PI3K/Akt/mTOR by using a specific inhibitor of PI3K (LY294002), mTOR (rapamycin, RAD-001, also designated as 40-O-(2-hydroxyethyl)-rapamycin or CCI-779) or dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor (PI-103 or NVP-BEZ235) also constitutes another strategy for inhibiting HIF-1α-induced target genes including glycolytic enzymes and eradicating the total PC cell mass (Figure 3) (129,217,218). In support of this finding, the treatment of highly metastatic and AI DU145 and PC3 cell lines with LY294002 or NVP-BEZ235 was observed to induce growth inhibition and cytotoxic effects on the CD133+ PC cell fraction and the bulk PC cell mass detected by cytometric analyses (129).

However, additional investigations are necessary to establish the benefit to target these metabolic and survival pathways, alone or in combination, for eradicating the total PC cell mass and improving current antihormonal treatments and docetaxel-based chemotherapies. In this regard, an enhanced expression of the MIC-1 level by RNA silencing sensitized the PC3-Rx cells to the cytotoxic effects of docetaxel (207). Of clinical interest, it has also been shown that the MIC-1 expression level was upregulated in metastatic and AI PC3-Rx cells made resistant to docetaxel relative to parental PC3 cells and the downregulation of endogenous MIC-1 level by RNA silencing sensibilized the PC3-Rx cells to the cytotoxic effects induced by docetaxel (207). However, future studies are essential to establish the functions of secreted MIC-1 cytokines in PC cells with stem cell–like properties and the interest of its targeting to eradicate the total PC cell mass.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS
Taken together, these recent advances in the last few years on establishment of the molecular mechanisms at the basis of prostate carcinogenesis and metastases have revealed that the alterations of a specific subset of gene products may occur in PC- and metastasis-initiating cells endowed with stem cell–like properties versus their progenies during PC etiopathogenesis and transition to locally invasive, metastatic and recurrent disease stages. More particularly, it appears that the intrinsic properties of highly tumorigenic and migrating PC stem/progenitor cells and their acquisition of more malignant and multidrug resistance phenotypes during PC progression may contribute to their persistence at primary and secondary neoplasms, tumor regrowth and disease relapse after treatment initiation. Importantly, it was also shown that the molecular targeting of distinct oncogenic and drug resistance–associated gene products that are frequently deregulated in PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies, including EGFR, hedgehog, Wnt/β-catenin and Notch and their downstream signaling elements Akt, NF-κB and HIFs, constitute promising therapeutic strategies of great clinical interest to eradicate the total PC cell mass and reverse treatment resistance.

However, future investigations are necessary to further establish the cellular origin of different PC subtypes and molecular pathways and drug resistance–associated molecules that may contribute to the acquisition of more aggressive behavior by PC- and metastasis-initiating cells as well as their resistance to current therapies. It will be especially important to more precisely establish the implication of basal stem/progenitor cells versus their progenies with an intermediate phenotype found in basal and luminal compartments in PC initiation and progression. Moreover, it will be important to define the gene products and molecular pathways altered during the EMT process and metastases of PC stem/progenitor cells at distant tissues, including bones, and that
may contribute to their acquisition of aggressive phenotypes and disease relapse. Additional studies are also required to validate the cytotoxic effects induced by diverse dietary substances and novel combinations of drugs targeting distinct oncogenic signaling networks on isolated PC- and metastasis-initiating cells and their progenies on diverse in vitro PC cells and animal models mimicking the early and late stages of PC.

Hence, together these future investigations should lead to the validation of novel dietary compounds and pharmacological agents that could be used to simultaneously target distinct gene products altered in PC stem/progenitor cells and their progenies during PC progression and metastases. These anticarcinogenic agents could be used to develop an effective multigene strategy for eradicating the PC- and metastasis-initiating cells and their progenies, improving the current antiandrogen treatments and chemotherapies against aggressive and metastatic CRPCs, thereby preventing the disease relapse and death of PC patients.
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